



PRISMA–DTA for Abstracts Checklist

Section/topic	#	PRISMA-DTA for Abstracts Checklist item	Reported on page #
TITLE and PURPOSE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review (+/- meta-analysis) of diagnostic test accuracy (DTA) studies.	
Objectives	2	Indicate the research question, including components such as participants, index test, and target conditions.	
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	3	Include study characteristics used as criteria for eligibility.	
Information sources	4	List the key databases searched and the search dates.	
Risk of bias & applicability	5	Indicate the methods of assessing risk of bias and applicability.	
Synthesis of results	A1	Indicate the methods for the data synthesis.	
RESULTS			
Included studies	6	Indicate the number and type of included studies and the participants and relevant characteristics of the studies (including the reference standard).	
Synthesis of results	7	Include the results for the analysis of diagnostic accuracy, preferably indicating the number of studies and participants. Describe test accuracy including variability; if meta-analysis was done, include summary results and confidence intervals.	
DISCUSSION			
Strengths and limitations	9	Provide a brief summary of the strengths and limitations of the evidence	
Interpretation	10	Provide a general interpretation of the results and the important implications.	
OTHER			
Funding	11	Indicate the primary source of funding for the review.	
Registration	12	Provide the registration number and the registry name	

Adapted From: McInnes MDF, Moher D, Thoms BD, McGrath TA, Bossuyt PM, The PRISMA-DTA Group (2018). Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies: The PRISMA-DTA Statement. JAMA. 2018 Jan 23;319(4):388-396. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.19163.

For more information, visit: www.prisma-statement.org.